arXiv:1604.02210v1 [gr-qc] 8 Apr 2016

Solar system tests for linear massive conformal gravity

F. F. Faria *
Centro de Ciências da Natureza,
Universidade Estadual do Piauí,
64002-150 Teresina, PI, Brazil

Abstract

We first find the linearized gravitational field of a static spherically symmetric mass distribution in massive conformal gravity. Then we test this field with two solar system experiments: deflection of light by the sun and radar echo delay. The result is that the linear massive conformal gravity agrees with the linear general relativistic observations in the solar system. However, besides the standard general relativistic deflection of light, the theory gives an extra deflection at galactic scales. It is likely that this additional deflection replaces the effects of dark matter in general relativity.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.20.Cv, 04.50.Kd

* fff@uespi.br

1 Introduction

It is well known that general relativity (GR) is consistent with several solar system experimental tests. However, in order to explain the galaxies rotation curves and the deflection of light by galaxies and clusters of galaxies, GR requires the existence of large amounts of dark matter whose nature is still unknown. This is one of the unsolved problems in astrophysics. A number of alternative theories of gravity (see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]) have been proposed to solve such problems but none have been completely successful so far. One of these theories is massive conformal gravity (MCG), which is a recently developed conformally invariant theory of gravity in which the gravitational action is the sum of the fourth order derivative Weyl action with the second order derivative Einstein-Hilbert action conformally coupled to a scalar field.

The MCG gravitational potential, which is composed by an attractive Newtonian potential and a repulsive Yukawa potential, fits to galactic rotation curve data without dark matter [7]. In addition, MCG is a renormalizable quantum theory of gravity[1] and the MCG cosmology describes the current accelerating universe without dark energy [9]. Here we aim to test MCG with solar system observations. In section 2 we describe the classical MCG field equations, line element and geodesic equation. In section 3 we derive the linearized MCG gravitational field of a static spherically symmetric massive body. In section 4 we investigate the MCG deflection of light by the sun. In section 5 we analyze the MCG radar echo delay. Finally, conclusions are given in section 6.

2 Massive conformal gravity

The MCG action is given by

$$S=\frac{1}{2kc}\int d^4x\,\sqrt{-g}\big[\alpha\left(\varphi^2R+6\partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi\right)-\lambda^2C^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\big], \qquad (1)$$

where α is a dimensionless constants, λ is a constant with dimensions of length, $k=8\pi G/c^4$ (G is the gravitational constant and c is the speed of

[1] An analysis using the renormalization group methods is necessary to see if the theory is unitary or not [8].

light in vacuum),

$$C^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\beta\nu} = R^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\beta\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(\delta^{\alpha}{}_{\nu}R_{\mu\beta} - \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}R_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\beta}R^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}) + \frac{1}{6}\left(\delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}g_{\mu\nu} - \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\nu}g_{\mu\beta}\right)R \quad (2)$$

is the Weyl tensor, φ is a scalar field, $R^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\beta\nu}$ is the Riemann tensor, $R_{\mu\nu} = R^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\alpha\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor and $R = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$ is the scalar curvature. It is worth noting that the action (1) is invariant under the conformal transformations

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\theta(x)}\, g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

$$\tilde{\varphi} = e^{-\theta(x)}\varphi, \quad (4)$$

where $\theta(x)$ is an arbitrary function of the spacetime coordinates.

Varying the action (1) with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ and φ, we obtain the field equations

$$2\lambda^2 W_{\mu\nu} - \alpha\left[\varphi^2 G_{\mu\nu} + 6\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi - 3g_{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho\varphi\partial^\rho\varphi + g_{\mu\nu}\Box\varphi^2 - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\varphi^2\right] = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\Box\varphi - \frac{1}{6}R\varphi = 0, \quad (6)$$

where

$$W_{\mu\nu} = \nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta C_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{2}R^{\alpha\beta}C_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \quad (7)$$

is the Bach tensor,

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R \quad (8)$$

is the Einstein tensor, and

$$\Box\varphi = \nabla_\rho\nabla^\rho\varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\rho\left(\sqrt{-g}\partial^\rho\varphi\right) \quad (9)$$

is the generally covariant d'Alembertian for a scalar field.

Using the conformal invariance of the theory, we can impose the unitary gauge $\varphi = \varphi_0 = 1$ and the field equations (5) and (6) becomes

$$2\lambda^2 W_{\mu\nu} - \alpha G_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$R = 0. \quad (11)$$

In addition, in the unitary gauge, the MCG line element $ds^2 = (\varphi^2 g_{\mu\nu})dx^\mu dx^\nu$ reduces to the general relativistic line element

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu, \tag{12}$$

and the MCG geodesic equation

$$\frac{d^2x^\lambda}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^\lambda_{\ \mu\nu}\frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau}\frac{dx^\nu}{d\tau} + \frac{1}{\varphi}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x^\rho}\left(g^{\lambda\rho} + \frac{dx^\lambda}{d\tau}\frac{dx^\rho}{d\tau}\right) = 0 \tag{13}$$

reduces to the general relativistic geodesic equation

$$\frac{d^2x^\lambda}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^\lambda_{\ \mu\nu}\frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau}\frac{dx^\nu}{d\tau} = 0, \tag{14}$$

where

$$\Gamma^\lambda_{\ \mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}\left(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu}\right) \tag{15}$$

is the Levi-Civita connection. The full classical content of MCG can be obtained from (10), (11), (12) and (14) without loss of generality.

3 Linearized gravitational field

One of the most important solar system test for a theory of gravitation is the perihelion precession of the planets. However, in order to find the correct MCG perihelion precession we need to solve the nonlinear field equations (10) and (11), which is not an easy task to do. However, there are others solar system tests that can be calculated from the linear MCG field equations. In addition, it was recently been shown that the static spherically symmetric Schwarzschild solution is unstable in MCG [10], which is a common feature of the Schwarzschild black hole found in massive theories of gravity [11, 12]. Thus it is prudent to work with the linear theory until all possible static spherically symmetric solutions to the nonlinear MCG field equations are found.

By imposing the weak-field approximation

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \tag{16}$$

to (10) and (11), and neglecting terms of second order in $h_{\mu\nu}$, we obtain the MCG linearized field equations

$$2\lambda^2\left(\partial_\rho\partial^\rho\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\bar{R} - \frac{1}{6}\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho\partial^\rho\bar{R}\right) - \alpha\left(\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\bar{R}\right) = 0, \tag{17}$$

$$\bar{R} = 0, \tag{18}$$

where

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu \partial^\sigma h_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_\nu \partial^\sigma h_{\sigma\mu} - \partial_\sigma \partial^\sigma h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu h\right) \tag{19}$$

is the linearized Ricci tensor, and

$$\bar{R} = \partial^\mu \partial^\nu h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\rho \partial^\rho h \tag{20}$$

is the linearized scalar curvature, with $h = h^\rho_{\ \rho} = \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$.

The linearized field equations (17) and (18) are invariant under the coordinate gauge transformation

$$h_{\mu\nu} \to h_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \xi_\nu + \partial_\nu \xi_\mu, \tag{21}$$

where ξ^μ is an arbitrary spacetime dependent vector field. We may impose the coordinate gauge condition

$$\partial^\mu \left(h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu} h\right) = 0, \tag{22}$$

which fixes the coordinate gauge freedom up to a residual coordinate gauge parameter satisfying $\partial_\rho \partial^\rho \xi_\mu = 0$. The combination of (17), (18) and (22) then gives

$$\left(\partial_\rho \partial^\rho - \mu^2\right) \partial_\sigma \partial^\sigma h_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{23}$$

where $\mu = \sqrt{\alpha/2\lambda^2}$.

Considering a static (time independent) gravitational field, we find that (22) and (23) reduces to

$$\partial^i \left(h_{i\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{i\nu} h\right) = 0, \tag{24}$$

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \mu^2\right) \nabla^2 h_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{25}$$

where ∇^2 is the Laplacian operator and ∂_i denotes spacial derivatives, with $i = 1, 2, 3$. The spherically symmetric solution of (25) reads

$$h_{\mu\nu} = A_{\mu\nu} + \frac{B_{\mu\nu}}{r} + C_{\mu\nu}\frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r} + D_{\mu\nu}\frac{e^{\mu r}}{r}, \tag{26}$$

where $A_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, $C_{\mu\nu}$ and $D_{\mu\nu}$ are constant matrices.

By imposing that $h_{\mu\nu}$ goes to zero as $r \to \infty$, we set $A_{\mu\nu} = D_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and (26) becomes

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{B_{\mu\nu}}{r} + C_{\mu\nu}\frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r}. \tag{27}$$

Substituting (27) into (24), we obtain

$$\left(B_{i\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{i\nu}B\right)\left(-\frac{x^i}{r^3}\right) + \left(C_{i\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{i\nu}C\right)\left(-\frac{x^i e^{-\mu r}}{r^3} - \frac{\mu x^i e^{-\mu r}}{r^2}\right) = 0, \tag{28}$$

which can hold for all values of x^i only if

$$B_{i\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{i\nu}B = 0, \tag{29}$$

$$C_{i\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{i\nu}C = 0. \tag{30}$$

The solutions of (29) and (30) are given by $B_{00} = B_{11} = B_{22} = B_{33}$ and $C_{00} = C_{11} = C_{22} = C_{33}$, with all other components of $B_{\mu\nu}$ and $C_{\mu\nu}$ equal zero. It then follows that $h_{00} = h_{11} = h_{22} = h_{33}$.

The Newtonian limit of MCG gives [7]

$$h_{00} = -\frac{2\phi}{c^2}, \tag{31}$$

where

$$\phi = -\frac{GM}{r(1+\gamma)}\left[1 + \gamma e^{-\mu r}\right] \tag{32}$$

is the time-independent MCG gravitational potential of a particle of mass M, with $\gamma =$ constant. Hence the linearized MCG gravitational field of a static spherically symmetric mass distribution is described by the line element

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 + \frac{2\phi}{c^2}\right)c^2dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2\phi}{c^2}\right)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \tag{33}$$

with ϕ given by (32).

4 Deflection of light by the sun

One observable effect that can be obtained from the linear approximation of MCG is the deflection of light by the gravitational field of the sun. To

describe such effect we must first find how light propagates in a gravitational field in MCG.

Taking the linear approximation of the geodesic equation (14) and multiplying by $md\tau$, we obtain

$$mdu^{\lambda} + \left(\partial_{\mu} h^{\lambda}{}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\partial^{\lambda} h_{\mu\nu}\right) mu^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = 0, \tag{34}$$

where m is the mass of a test particle and $u^{\mu} = dx^{\mu}/d\tau$ is the test particle four-velocity. By neglecting the terms of second order in $h_{\mu\nu}$, we can write (34) as

$$dP^{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}\partial^{\lambda} h_{\mu\nu} P^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = 0, \tag{35}$$

where $P^{\mu} = mu^{\mu} + mh^{\mu}{}_{\nu} u^{\nu}$ is the test particle momentum-energy four-vector. The form (35) of the geodesic equation can be used to describe the trajectories of both particles and light waves in MCG.

The momentum-energy four-vector of a photon with frequency ω and wave vector $\vec{k} = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$ is given by

$$P^{\mu} = \hbar k^{\mu}, \tag{36}$$

where $\hbar$ is the Planck constant and

$$k^{\mu} = \left(\frac{\omega}{c}, \vec{k}\right) = \left(\frac{\omega}{c}, k_x, k_y, k_z\right) \tag{37}$$

is the photon wave four-vector. Substituting (36) into (35), we obtain

$$dk^{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}\partial^{\lambda} h_{\mu\nu} k^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = 0. \tag{38}$$

For a photon passing by a static spherically symmetric massive body along the z-axis with impact parameter b (see Fig. 1), we have

$$k^{\mu} = \left(\frac{\omega}{c}, 0, 0, \frac{\omega}{c}\right), \tag{39}$$

$$dx^{\mu} = (dz, 0, 0, dz). \tag{40}$$

The insertion of (39) and (40) into (38) then gives

$$dk^{\lambda} = \frac{\omega}{2c}\partial^{\lambda} \left(h_{00} + h_{03} + h_{30} + h_{33}\right) dz. \tag{41}$$

By substituting (33) into (41), we arrive at

$$dk_x = -\frac{2\omega}{c^3}\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\right) dz, \tag{42}$$

so that the total change in k_x is

$$\Delta k_x = -\frac{2\omega}{c^3}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=b} dz. \tag{43}$$

The deflection angle for a light ray passing by a static spherically symmetric mass distribution is therefore

$$\theta = \frac{\Delta k_x}{k_z} = -\frac{2}{c^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\, dz, \tag{44}$$

where the derivative is to be evaluated at the impact parameter of the light ray.



Figure 1: Path of a light ray in the gravitational field of a static spherically symmetric body of mass M.

Inserting (32) into (44), we obtain the MCG deflection angle

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{\rm MCG} &= -\frac{2}{c^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[-\frac{GM}{\sqrt{x^2+z^2}(1+\gamma)}\left(1+\gamma e^{-\mu\sqrt{x^2+z^2}}\right)\right] dz \\ &= -\frac{2GMb}{c^2(1+\gamma)}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\frac{(1+\gamma e^{-\mu\sqrt{b^2+z^2}})}{(b^2+z^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\gamma\mu e^{-\mu\sqrt{b^2+z^2}}}{(b^2+z^2)}\right] dz, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

which is not solvable analytically and needs to be evaluated numerically. First we note that a good fit to flat rotation curves of spiral galaxies is obtained with the parameters [13]

$$\gamma = -0.92, \qquad \mu^{-1} = 40\,\text{kpc}. \tag{46}$$

In addition, we have $G = 6.67428 \times 10^{-11}\,\mathrm{m^3 kg^{-1} s^{-2}}$ and $c = 2.9979 \times 10^8\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$. Thus we just need the values of M and b to integrate (45) numerically. For a light ray deflected by the sun we must use $M_\odot = 1.9891 \times 10^{30}\,\mathrm{kg}$ and $b = 6.955 \times 10^8\,\mathrm{m}$, so (45) gives

$$\theta_{\mathrm{MCG}} = -1.75'', \tag{47}$$

which is just the general relativistic deflection angle of the sun. This value has been confirmed to within 0.02% [14].

It is worth noting that while the MCG deflection angle (45) is equivalent to the general relativistic deflection angle $\theta_{\mathrm{GR}} = -4GM/bc^2$ in the solar system this is not the case on larger distance scales. Just as the observed light deflection, the MCG light deflection due to the luminous matter in galaxies or clusters of galaxies is larger than the general relativistic light deflection. This indicates that it is likely that MCG does not need to appeal to dark matter to describe the light deflection on galactic scales. Further study is necessary on this issue.

5 Radar echo delay

Let us consider a light signal that moves along a straight line (parallel to the z-axis) connecting two points z_1 and z_2 in the gravitational field of a static spherically symmetric massive body (see Fig. 2). It follows from (33) and (41) that the change in k_z along the straight line is given by

$$dk_z = -\frac{2\omega}{c^3}\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}\right)dz. \tag{48}$$

Substituting (32) into (48) and integrating, we find

$$\begin{aligned} k_z(z) - \frac{\omega}{c} &= -\frac{2\omega}{c^3}\int_\infty^z \frac{\partial}{\partial z'}\left[-\frac{GM}{\sqrt{b^2+z'^2}(1+\gamma)}\left(1+\gamma e^{-\mu\sqrt{b^2+z'^2}}\right)\right]dz' \\ &= -\frac{2GM\omega}{c^3(1+\gamma)}\int_\infty^z \left[\frac{(1+\gamma e^{-\mu\sqrt{b^2+z'^2}})z'}{(b^2+z'^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\gamma\mu z' e^{-\mu\sqrt{b^2+z'^2}}}{(b^2+z'^2)}\right]dz', \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where we used $k_z(\infty) = \omega/c$.

The substitution of (49) into the travel time for a light ray between z_1 and z_2

$$\Delta t = \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \frac{k_z(z)}{\omega}\, dz \tag{50}$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t_{\rm MCG} &= \frac{z_2 - z_1}{c} - \frac{2GM}{c^3(1+\gamma)} \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_{\infty}^{z} \left[\frac{(1+\gamma e^{-\mu\sqrt{b^2+z'^2}})z'}{(b^2+z'^2)^{3/2}} + \right. \\ &\left. \frac{\gamma\mu z' e^{-\mu\sqrt{b^2+z'^2}}}{(b^2+z'^2)} \right] dz' dz, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

which can only be evaluated numerically.



Figure 2: Path of a light signal between two points in the gravitational field of a static spherically symmetric mass distribution.

The second term on the right side of (51) represents the MCG time delay produced by the gravitational field. For a light signal traveling between the earth (at $z_1 < 0$) and mercury (at $z_2 > 0$) on opposite sides of the sun, we set $M = M_\odot$, $b = 6.955 \times 10^8$ m, $|z_1| = 14.9 \times 10^{10}$ m and $z_2 = 5.8 \times 10^{10}$ m, and obtain for the maximum possible time delay 110×10^{-6} s. It then follows that the MCG time delay for a light signal to go to mercury and return to earth is 220×10^{-6} s, which is consistent with the observed general relativistic value [15]. At galactic scales, the MCG time delay in (51) is larger than the general relativistic time delay $2GM \ln(4|z_1|z_2/b^2)$. However, since radar echo delay experiments on larger distance scales are not practical, we can not currently know which of the two time delays is completely consistent with observations.

6 Final remarks

In the present paper, we have compared the predictions of the linear MCG with some results of solar system experiments. In particular, it was shown that the MCG deflection of light and radar echo delay are compatible with the observed results at the level of the solar system. Additionally, besides describing well the rotation curves of galaxies, MCG predicts extra contributions to light deflection on galactic scales where discrepancies between general relativity and experiments exist. This means that the theory is a promising candidate to solve the dark matter problem. We will address this issue in the future.

References

[1] H. Weyl, Sitz. Berichte d. Preuss. Akad. d. Wissenschaften, 465 (1918).

[2] T. Kaluza, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin., 966 (1921).

[3] C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **124**, 925 (1961).

[4] P. G. Bergmann, Int. J. Theo. Phys. **1**, 25 (1968).

[5] M. Milgrom, Astrophys. J. **270**, 365 (1983).

[6] P. Hořava, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084008 (2009).

[7] F. F. Faria, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2014**, 520259 (2014).

[8] F. F. Faria, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 188 (2016).

[9] F. F. Faria, arXiv:1410.5104 [gr-qc].

[10] Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B **730**, 130 (2014).

[11] E. Babichev and A. Fabbri, Class. Quant. Grav. **30**, 152001 (2013).

[12] R. Brito, V. Cardoso and P. Pani, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 023514 (2013).

[13] R. H. Sanders, Astron. Astrophys. **136**, L21 (1984).

[14] D. E. Lebach, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1439 (1995).

[15] I. I. Shapiro, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **26**, 1132 (1971).